

Department of State

DEF 18-3

TELEGRAM

DISTRIBUTION

ACTION: USUN New York

IMMEDIATE

INFO:

USMission GENEVA

NATO

Amembassy BONN

" LONDON

" MOSCOW

" OTTAWA

" PARIS

" ROME

THE HAGUE

" TOKYO

AmConGen HONG KONG

DISTO

STATE

SUBJECT: Soviet Draft MNGA Res on a World Disarmament

Conference (WDC)

REF: USUN 2957, 3899 - DEF 18-3

1. SUMMARY. In view of the likelihood that the General Assembly will adopt a WDC resolution by a substantial majority, USUN should sound out other dels on possibility of amending the Soviet draft resolution to make clear that the WDC idea is to remain simply a matter for

UNGA "discussion" and that no commitment is being made -

IO/UNP:SMcIntyre/JAArmitage 10/28/71 20835 TO - S. Devains

ACDA/IR - Mr. Leonard EUR/SOV - Mr. Perry (subs) EA/ACA -Mr. Sullivar OSD/ISA - Capt. Morris (subs) PM - Mr. Garthoff

S6 - Mr. Miller

Classification

DS-322

DECLASSIFIED PA/HO Department of State E.O. 12958, as amended August 6, 2007 DECLASSIFIED

A.O. 18968, 660. 5.4

\$150,000 \$4.5000 \$

subs

REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCH

Classification

Department of State

TELEGRAM

-2-

DISTRIBUTION

ACRONE

regarding need to set a specific date or to begin concrete preparations for a WDC. Our views on the Soviet resolution type of and the/amendments we desire are as follows: END SUMMARY

- 2. The Soviet proposal for WDC, which Gromyko presented to the GA on September 28, is expected to come up for debate in the Assembly during the first week of November. While the Soviets appear to be more interested in gaining credit for their WDC proposal than in pressing for early convening of such a conference, their resolution would, if adopted in its present form, place the GA on record as favoring a WDC and would provide that agreement on an actual date and on an agenda for a WDC should be reached before the end of 1972.
- 3. The Soviet proposal seems designed in some measure to take the play on the WDC idea away from the PRC. On July 30 the PRC flatly refused the Soviet proposal for a conference of five nuclear powers and repeated its

DRAFTED BY:

CLEARANCES:

Classification

DECLASSIFIED PA/HO Department of State E.O. 12958, as amended August 6, 2007

Date Zglong BACTH **ナマのりりん**

> A.O. 128888, 800. 3.4 DECLASSIFIED

Department of State

TELEGRAM

-3-

DISTRIBUTION

ACMOUNT

longstanding position in favor of a world summit conference to discuss the complete prohibition of nuclear weapons.

- 4. Although our allies are skeptical about the value of the WDC, a number of them have indicated that they would probably vote in favor of the Soviet resolution. Non-aligned countries have so far indicated little would interest in a WDC but they will probably also support the Soviet resolution.
- 5. As the Secretary made clear in his October 4 GA speech (USUN 3116), we are skeptical about the value of a WDC. However, since the Soviet resolution is likely to be adopted, by an large majority, we have decided as seek to have it a practical matter to significant and any specific commitment by the GA to a WDC or any implication of movement toward still more disarmament machinery outside the UN framework. While showing that we are prepared

-	_	_	
DRA	FT	ED	BY:

DRAFTING DATE

TEL EXT

APPROVED BY

CLEARANCES:

6-322

Classification
DECLASSIFIED
PA/HO Department of State
E.O. 12958, as amended
August 6, 2007

BACTH DEPOSONS

DECLASSIFIED AO. 12958, Sec. 3.4



Department of State

TELEGRAM

-4-

DISTRIBUTION

to be cooperative and flexible in dealing with this matter, this approach would minimize any appearance that the US was aligning itself in a tactical ploy against the PRC and they would leave open the maximum range of options for future decision by obscuring the questions of the timing, nature, and venue of a possible WDC.

6. Accordingly USUN should sound out allied, important non-aligned and Sov dels on possibility of amending the Soviet resolution along lines described above. (Specific changes we desire being sent only to USUN, by separate message.) You should say we could go along with a WDC res along lines of our amended version of the Soviet resolution. If asked, you should say we will not co-sponsor. You should indicate that if the Soviet resolution were pressed to a vote in its present form you would very likely be unable to support it. (Our final decision regarding our vote would be made at a

DRAFTED BY:

DRAFTING DATE

TEL EXT

APPROVED BY

CLEARANCES

DS-322

Classification

DECLASSIFIED PA/HO Department of State E.O. 12958, as amended August 6, 2007 DECLASSIFIED

A G Q O S. 3.4

EV CLH

EV CLH

Data ZOLNS

EV CLH

Data ZOLNS



Classification

Department of State

TELEGRAM

-5-

DISTRIBUTION

ACHONK

later stage in light of situation which develops during debate and consultations on this issue. Whatever our vote, we will probably wish to make a statement of explanation setting forth our general reservations regarding a WDC along the lines of the comments in the Secretary's October 4 GA speech).

END

ROGERS

DRAFTED BY:	DRAFTING DATE	TEL. EXT.	APPROVED BY:	

REPRODUCED AT THE MATICIONAL ARCHIVES

THE TOTAL PROPERTY.

PA/HO Department of State E.O. 12958, as amended August 6, 2007 SAMOS et EC.

BYCLH

4.902.12

5-322

DECLASSIFIED
A.O. 12868, 800. 3.4